

Safe and environmentally friendly daily use of clean-energy electromagnetic devices

Adel Razek

Opinion

Group of Electrical Engineering—Paris (GeePs), CNRS, University of Paris-Saclay and Sorbonne University, 91190 Gif sur Yvette, France; adel.razek@centralesupelec.fr

CITATION

Razek A. Safe and environmentally friendly daily use of clean-energy electromagnetic devices. Clean Energy Science and Technology. 2024; 2(3): 200. https://doi.org/10.18686/cest.v2i3.20 0

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 16 July 2024 Accepted: 5 August 2024 Available online: 29 August 2024

COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2024 by author(s). *Clean Energy Science and Technology* is published by Universe Scientific Publishing. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/ Abstract: The daily well-being of modern humanity is closely linked to the use of different devices operating through different sources of energy conversion. Electromagnetic energy obtained from the conversion of clean energy is one of the most used in devices in this context. The use of these devices reflects the expected results, often accompanied by unwanted side effects. These undesirable side effects correspond to the interaction of artificial electromagnetic radiation with living tissues of biodiversity (One Health concept). The corresponding living tissues are related to humans, animals (domestic and wild), birds, plants, etc., and more generally to biodiversity, including the ecosystem. Therefore, these harmful effects could be reduced by intelligent and sustainable construction and protection (Responsible Attitude concept) of these devices. This article aimed to illustrate the implication of the concepts of One Health and Responsible Attitude in the management of the daily use of wireless communication tools with electromagnetic energy, as well as power transfer devices. The two concepts were first discussed. The biological effects on living tissues due to exposure to electromagnetic field radiation were analyzed in the case of humans, animals and plants. The different characteristics of the radiated field and exposed tissues influencing these effects, as well as the governing laws and mathematical modeling of the effects, were examined. Additionally, the means for protecting living tissues from electromagnetic radiation were inspected. The analyses pursued in this article were supported by examples taken from the literature.

Keywords: clean electromagnetic energy; One Health; Responsible Attitude; living tissues; electromagnetic fields; biological effects on biodiversity

1. Introduction

In modern society, different devices are used for human well-being on a daily basis. This involves health, safety, comfort, etc. These devices operate using different sources of energy conversion. Such equipment, in addition to providing the intended services, produces undesired side effects. A constant objective has always been to optimize the use of these devices, thus strengthening the expected results and minimizing unwanted side effects that could affect not only humans but also other associated environmental concerns. The adverse effects mainly concern human health, as well as that of animals, plants and more generally biodiversity, thus calling on the One Health (OH) concept, which includes animal, plant and human health, all threatened by disturbances generated by human activities [1].

On the other hand, energy and environmental sustainability, which constitutes one of the societal challenges, aims to ensure a clean energy supply for human wellbeing. The management of well-being and harms linked to the use of manufactured devices are governed by the concept of Responsible Attitude (RA) in the conversion and consumption of clean energy. The One Health and Responsible Attitude concepts aim to enable the optimized use of energy for human well-being with minimized harmful side effects to humans, the environment and biodiversity.

Among devices related to human well-being and operating with clean energy, wireless electromagnetic tools occupy an important place. Electromagnetic energy is considered rigorously clean if derived from clean energy conversion, which originates from the use of renewable energy or other decarbonized energy (hydroelectric or nuclear power plants). Wireless electromagnetic tools are mainly everyday communication tools, their tower antenna and wireless energy transfer devices. Such applications, in addition to their objective functions, like many devices, may have their own side effects. Any possible effects are prevented or minimized by standards and regulations. Thus, such devices behave like sources of electromagnetic fields (EMFs), which, due to their wireless nature, emit radiated fields characterized by stray and leakage fields. These unwanted fields are of two categories, near fields and far fields, depending on the distance from the source to the target. Their impact is concentrated on the exposed target at close range, e.g., mobile phones or wireless energy transfer devices [2–4], and homogeneous in distant exposure, e.g., cell phone tower antennas [5].

This communication aimed to illustrate and analyze the behaviors of EMFradiating devices in the context of the OH concept involving biodiversity and in the context of the RA concept relating to optimized managed clean energy (conversion and consumption). In the next sections, the biological effects on living tissues due to exposure to EMFs are presented. The laws governing the behavior of such effects are also presented. Next, routines for protection against the harmful effects of EMF exposure are discussed. Some examples taken from the literature are cited to illustrate the concepts of OH and RA. This communication does not claim to be an assessment of the effects of EMFs.

2. Effects of EMF exposure

EMF exposure relates to the interaction of an electromagnetic field with an exposed matter, resulting in a dissipation of electromagnetic energy in the matter. Such dissipation produces different effects in the matter, related mainly to the frequency range of EMFs. These display a wide frequency range, comprising non-ionizing $(10^{3}-10^{14} \text{ Hz})$ and ionizing $(10^{15}-10^{22} \text{ Hz})$ ranges. Non-ionizing EMFs are those expended in daily human activities described earlier. The most common effect of non-ionizing EMFs is a temperature rise, depending on the features of the radiated field and the exposed matter. Exposure features involve an electromagnetic field's strength, frequency and nature (far or near field), as well as exposure period. Effects on a matter correspond to an electromagnetic field's physical properties, involving electrical, dielectric, magnetic, heat and mechanical properties. It is worth noting that excessive field strengths, frequencies or exposure duration can provoke irreversible molecular disturbance.

The effects of EMF exposure could be intended (beneficial), e.g., induction and microwave heating [6,7] and hyperthermia medical therapies [8]. The effects could also be unwanted (adverse) effects, e.g., electronic device perturbations and living tissue biological effects [9].

3. EMF exposure's adverse biological effects on living tissues

The biological effects (BE) of EMFs are generally, as mentioned previously, thermal effects resulting from energy dissipation in tissues. The harmful effects of radiated EMFs are generally thermal, affecting living tissues, including humans, fauna and flora. An immediate BE is the increase in internal tissues' temperature. The natural defense of these living tissues is mainly adapting to exposure to the surface, such as exposure to sunlight. In this case, heat slowly penetrates by conduction into the tissues, which are normally irrigated by fluids to allow the tissues to function properly. Focused heating in tissues, especially in tissues poorly perfused by blood or sap under EMF radiation, could be dangerous, depending on the characteristics of the exposure and the tissue [10,11]. Different adverse effects could be checked by comparison with thresholds fixed by standards and accounting for tissue nature, exposure functionality and exposure conditions (for human and fauna [12–14], and for plants (corresponding to public thresholds) [15,16]).

4. Governing laws of EMF effects

The involved phenomena in expected or unwanted effects due to the interaction of EMFs with matters are the electromagnetic phenomenon and the heat transfer phenomenon. The electromagnetic and heat transfer phenomena are via the dissipated power in conducting and dielectric matters via electric conductivity and permittivity (its imaginary part), respectively. A typical example of EMF interaction with a conducting matter corresponds to induction heating [6]. For dielectric matters, such as living tissues, the interaction with EMFs involves the extended heat transfer phenomenon, i.e., the bioheat transfer phenomenon. Characteristic examples in living tissues are the blood-irrigated case for humans or animals [17] and the sap-irrigated case for plants [11].

5. Protection against adverse effects of EMF exposure

Improving the expected functions, as well as reducing the harmful effects, of EMF-producing devices could be managed by optimizing their design or monitoring. Shielding sources or targets, or both, could achieve, in theory, protection against adverse EMF effects. Generally, such a solution is not consistent because the functioning principle of a wireless device is related to its emitted field. In the absence of a design rigorously restraining EMF exposure, only restricted-time or restricted-area use of devices could be a reliable solution. Such a solution can involve public parks, urban districts or whole cities [18–20]. This protection concentrates mostly on anthropogenic modernizations and their connection with biodiversity and the environment, thus reflecting the One Health concept [1]. A less efficient strategy for the reduction of EMF radiation from sources of slight EMFs could be the utilization of EMF absorbers [21,22] or various types of ornamental plants, such as the snake plant (*Dracaena trifasciata*) [23,24].

6. Discussion

This article focused on the concept of RA through the optimized use of clean energy conversion supply, and the concept of OH via the consideration of biodiversity, in the treatment of the adverse side effects of EMF exposure. At this point, some aspects deserve consideration:

Unusual adverse effects of EMF exposure: The most common adverse effects of EMF exposure were considered, namely thermal BEs due to non-ionizing EMFs, which can be controlled via thresholds set by health safety standards. Other effects of EMF exposure could occur, but often less so. This concern sustained thermal effects, non-thermal effects, effects presenting atypical symptoms and effects of ionizing EMFs. Tissue-dissipated energy is characterized by the specific absorption rate (SAR, in watt/kg) and exposure time. Sustained tissue heating and non-thermal effects are linked to these two quantities. Excessive electromagnetic field strengths (and hence the SAR) and frequencies (ionizing), as well as exposure duration, lead to engendering molecular disorders and, subsequently, tissue damage [25–32]. These effects concern living tissues in general, involving humans and the whole biodiversity. Effects presenting atypical symptoms correspond to humans with electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS), a condition named idiopathic environmental intolerance. These have two categories, where the first shows nonspecific marks caused by negligible radiations with a trivial period and well below the safety limits of standards [33-36], while the second suffers from cognitive disturbance due to durable EMF exposure [37–39]. The manifestation of EHS atypical symptoms, which look real, could be obliquely linked to EMFs. With such ambiguity, one can rationalize that invisible effects today could be clarified later. Nevertheless, such uncertainty can justify a cautious attitude [40]. Hence, pending more exploration, individuals with these symptoms could reasonably be reflected medically with a chronic illness, recognizing that the chief cause remains an environment with EMFs.

Mathematical modeling of involved physical phenomena: In the above analysis, the governing laws of EMF effects through the involved physical phenomena, namely, electromagnetic and bioheat transfer, were discussed. These phenomena are generally mathematically represented by differential microscopic local equations. The electromagnetic equations (see details in Razek's work [9]) are in terms of the vectors of electric field (E), magnetic field (H), electric induction (D), magnetic induction (B) and current density (J). The involved parameters, in addition to frequency (f), are magnetic permeability ($\mu = B/H$), electric permittivity ($\varepsilon = D/E$) and electric conductivity ($\sigma = J/E$). These equations set permit the computation, in addition to the different induced fields due to a given source, of the global amount of the dissipated electric power loss (P). The bioheat transfer equation (see details in Razek's work [11]) is in terms of the temperature rise (T) (and its time and space derivatives), heat source (P), thermal conductivity (k), specific heat (c) and density (ρ) of the substance. The biological terms concern the tissue self-heat source (P_t) (relative to animals or plants) and the involved convective heat transfer via the irrigating fluid (blood in animals or sap in plants). The electromagnetic and bioheat transfer equations are coupled via the electrical dissipated power, P. This coupling, reflecting distant time constants (electromagnetic and thermal), will be weak (an iterative and not

simultaneous solution). Given the geometric complexity and inhomogeneity of a tissue, the solution must be local in the tissue using discretized 3D techniques, such as finite elements [41–46], in the appropriate element of the tissue.

7. Conclusion

The analyses accomplished in the above sections can be summarized as follows. Optimizing human-made devices using clean energy involves improving the performance required for human well-being and minimizing undesirable side effects for biodiversity safety. These eco-design and eco-protection actions are part of the Responsible Attitude approach. Biodiversity security involves protecting the living tissues of humans, fauna and flora, which corresponds to the One Health concept.

This article illustrated that the optimized use by humans of clean sources of electromagnetic energy conversion allows the expected well-being of humans and considers biodiversity in the treatment of harmful side effects of EMF exposure. In this context, the evaluation of the effects of electromagnetic fields on living tissues, as well as the reduction of EMF exposure through protection routines, were presented and analyzed. This shows that the role of the concepts of Responsible Attitude and One Health is perfectly integrated.

The analyses indicated that in the absence of a design strictly limiting EMF exposure, only the constraint of the performance and use of clean-energy electromagnetic devices constitutes reliable solutions for preserving biodiversity. Thus, the use of such devices at specific time periods and in specific areas, as well as the establishment of radiation-free zones, can preserve the biodiversity of vulnerable living tissues, including humans, animals and fragile plants.

Conflict of interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- World Health Organization. One Health. Available online: https://www.who.int/europe/initiatives/one-health (accessed on 14 March 2024).
- Petroulakis N, Mattsson MO, Chatziadam P, et al. NextGEM: Next-Generation Integrated Sensing and Analytical System for Monitoring and Assessing Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure and Health. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2023; 20(12): 6085. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20126085
- 3. Cirimele V, Freschi F, Giaccone L, et al. Human Exposure Assessment in Dynamic Inductive Power Transfer for Automotive Applications. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics. 2017; 53(6): 1-4. doi: 10.1109/tmag.2017.2658955
- Tran NT, Jokic L, Keller J, et al. Impacts of Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Field (RF-EMF) on Lettuce (Lactuca sativa)—Evidence for RF-EMF Interference with Plant Stress Responses. Plants. 2023; 12(5): 1082. doi: 10.3390/plants12051082
- 5. Sivani S, Sudarsanam D. Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem a review. Biology and Medicine. 2012; 4(4): 202-216.
- Vishnuram P, Ramachandiran G, Sudhakar Babu T, et al. Induction heating in domestic cooking and industrial melting applications: A systematic review on modelling, converter topologies and control schemes. Energies. 2021; 14(20): 6634. doi: 10.3390/en142066
- Sekkak A, Pichon L, Razek A. 3-D FEM magneto-thermal analysis in microwave ovens. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics. 1994; 30(5): 3347-3350. doi: 10.1109/20.312655
- 8. Zastrow E, Hagness SC, Van Veen BD, et al. Time-Multiplexed Beamforming for Noninvasive Microwave Hyperthermia Treatment. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 2011; 58(6): 1574-1584. doi: 10.1109/tbme.2010.2103943

- 9. Razek A. Biological and Medical Disturbances Due to Exposure to Fields Emitted by Electromagnetic Energy Devices—A Review. Energies. 2022; 15(12): 4455. doi: 10.3390/en15124455
- Lagorio S, Blettner M, Baaken D, et al. The effect of exposure to radiofrequency fields on cancer risk in the general and working population: A protocol for a systematic review of human observational studies. Environment International. 2021; 157: 106828. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106828
- 11. Razek A. Analysis and control of ornamental plant responses to exposure to electromagnetic fields. Ornamental Plant Research. 2024; 4(1): 0-0. doi: 10.48130/opr-0024-0007
- 12. Guidelines For Limiting Exposure To Time-Varying Electric And Magnetic Fields (1 Hz TO 100 kHz). Health Physics. 2010; 99(6): 818-836. doi: 10.1097/hp.0b013e3181f06c86
- Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (100 kHz to 300 GHz). Health Physics. 2020; 118(5): 483-524. doi: 10.1097/hp.00000000001210
- C95.1-2019 IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz. IEEE; 2019. doi: 10.1109/IEEESTD.2019.8859679
- 15. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Scientific Evidence for Cell Phone Safety. Available online: www.fda.gov/radiationemitting-products/cell-phones/scientific-evidence-cell-phone-safety (accessed on 4 May 2024).
- Council of the European Union. EU Recommendation 1999/519/EC on the Limitation of Exposure of the General Public to Electromagnetic Fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz). Available online: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reco/1999/519/oj (accessed on 4 May 2024).
- 17. Pennes HH. Analysis of Tissue and Arterial Blood Temperatures in the Resting Human Forearm. Journal of Applied Physiology. 1998; 85(1): 5-34. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1998.85.1.5
- 18. Zang Z, Guo Z, Fan X, et al. Assessing the performance of the pilot national parks in China. Ecological Indicators. 2022; 145: 109699. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109699
- 19. Díaz S, Settele J, Brondízio ES, et al. Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. Science. 2019; 366(6471). doi: 10.1126/science.aax3100
- 20. Coad A, Nightingale P, Stilgoe J, et al. Editorial: the dark side of innovation. Industry and Innovation. 2020; 28(1): 102-112. doi: 10.1080/13662716.2020.1818555
- 21. Kruželák J, Kvasničáková A, Ušák E, et al. Rubber magnets based on NBR and lithium ferrite with the ability to absorb electromagnetic radiation. Polymers for Advanced Technologies. 2020; 31(7): 1624-1633. doi: 10.1002/pat.4891
- 22. Qin M, Zhang L, Wu H. Dielectric Loss Mechanism in Electromagnetic Wave Absorbing Materials. Advanced Science. 2022; 9(10). doi: 10.1002/advs.202105553
- 23. Lestari M, Sulhadi S, Sutikno S. The Effect of Ornamental Plants on Reducing the Intensity of Electromagnetic Wave Radiation. Physics Communication. 2023; 7(1): 35-42. doi: 10.15294/physcomm.v7i1.41534
- Ilmiawati A, Falestin M, Maddu A, et al. Films from PVA and Sansevieria trifasciata Leaves Extracts as a Smartphone Protector with Radiation Reducing Property and Its LC-MS Analysis. Indonesian Journal of Chemistry. 2023; 23(3): 594. doi: 10.22146/ijc.76809
- Kim JH, Lee JK, Kim HG, et al. Possible Effects of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure on Central Nerve System. Biomolecules & Therapeutics. 2019; 27(3): 265-275. doi: 10.4062/biomolther.2018.152
- 26. Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks. Opinion on Potential Health Effects of Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (EMF), European Commission: Luxembourg. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific committees/emerging/docs/scenihr o 041.pdf (accessed on 10 May 2024).
- 27. Wust P, Kortüm B, Strauss U, et al. Non-thermal effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields. Scientific Reports. 2020; 10(1). doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69561-3
- Zradziński P, Karpowicz J, Gryz K. Electromagnetic Energy Absorption in a Head Approaching a Radiofrequency Identification (RFID) Reader Operating at 13.56 MHz in Users of Hearing Implants Versus Non-Users. Sensors. 2019; 19(17): 3724. doi: 10.3390/s19173724
- 29. Jalilian H, Eeftens M, Ziaei M, et al. Public exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields in everyday microenvironments: An updated systematic review for Europe. Environmental Research. 2019; 176: 108517. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2019.05.048
- Leach V, Weller S, Redmayne M. A novel database of bio-effects from non-ionizing radiation. Reviews on Environmental Health. 2018; 33(3): 273-280. doi: 10.1515/reveh-2018-0017

- 31. U.S. Food & Drug. Review of Published Literature between 2008 and 2018 of Relevance to Radiofrequency Radiation and Cancer. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/media/135043/download (accessed on 18 May 2024).
- 32. WHO. World Cancer Report, 2020, Cancer Research for Cancer Prevention, IARC/OMS: Lyon, France. Available online: https://www.aws.iarc.who.int/featured-news/new-world-cancer-report/ (accessed on 11 May 2024).
- 33. Point S. Advocacy for A Cognitive Approach to Electro hypersensitivity Syndrome. Skeptical Inquirer. 2020; 44: 47-50.
- Rubin GJ, Nieto-Hernandez R, Wessely S. Idiopathic environmental intolerance attributed to electromagnetic fields (formerly 'electromagnetic hypersensitivity'): An updated systematic review of provocation studies. Bioelectromagnetics. 2009; 31(1): 1-11. doi: 10.1002/bem.20536
- 35. Huang PC, Chiang J chin, Cheng YY, et al. Physiological changes and symptoms associated with short-term exposure to electromagnetic fields: a randomized crossover provocation study. Environmental Health. 2022; 21(1). doi: 10.1186/s12940-022-00843-1
- Genuis SJ, Lipp CT. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: Fact or fiction? Science of The Total Environment. 2012; 414: 103-112. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.11.008
- 37. Barth A, Ponocny I, Gnambs T, et al. No effects of short-term exposure to mobile phone electromagnetic fields on human cognitive performance: A meta-analysis. Bioelectromagnetics. 2011; 33(2): 159-165. doi: 10.1002/bem.20697
- 38. Curcio G. Exposure to Mobile Phone-Emitted Electromagnetic Fields and Human Attention: No Evidence of a Causal Relationship. Frontiers in Public Health. 2018; 6. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00042
- 39. Valentini E, Ferrara M, Presaghi F, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of psychomotor effects of mobile phone electromagnetic fields. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2010; 67(10): 708-716. doi: 10.1136/oem.2009.047027
- 40. Sunstein CR. Beyond the Precautionary Principle. SSRN Electronic Journal. Published online 2002. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.307098
- 41. Nunes AS, Dular P, Chadebec O, et al. Subproblems Applied to a 3-D Magnetostatic Facet FEM Formulation. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics. 2018; 54(8): 1-9. doi: 10.1109/tmag.2018.2828786
- 42. Li G, Ojeda J, Hoang E, et al. Thermal–Electromagnetic Analysis for Driving Cycles of Embedded Flux-Switching Permanent-Magnet Motors. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology. 2012; 61(1): 140-151. doi: 10.1109/tvt.2011.2177283
- 43. Piriou F, Razek A. Numerical simulation of a nonconventional alternator connected to a rectifier. IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion. 1990; 5(3): 512-518. doi: 10.1109/60.105275
- 44. Bernard L. Electrical Caracterization of Biological Tissues and Computing of Phenomena Induced in the Human Body by Electromagnetic Fields Below 1 GHz [PhD thesis]. Universities of Ecole Centrale de Lyon, France and Universidade federal de Minas Gerais; 2007
- 45. Freschi F, Giaccone L, Cirimele V, et al. Numerical assessment of low-frequency dosimetry from sampled magnetic fields. Physics in Medicine & Biology. 2017; 63(1): 015029. doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/aa9915
- Piriou F, Razek A. Calculation of saturated inductances for numerical simulation of synchronous machines. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics. 1983; 19(6): 2628-2631. doi: 10.1109/tmag.1983.1062831